## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/586,716 LACOMBE ET AL Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Jordan M. Schwartz 2873 All Participants: Status of Application: (3) \_\_\_\_\_. (1) Jordan M. Schwartz. (2) Laura Wanek. (4) \_\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 10 September 2008 Time: \_\_\_\_ Type of Interview: ▼ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: TYes No. If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 13, 23, 24, 27, 32-33 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Jordan M. Schwartz/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The changes to the claims, set forth in the examiner's amendment, were discussed and agreed upon to correct antecedent basis issues and provide additional clarity to applicant's intended meaning. It was also agreed to add title headings to the specification as per the examiner's amendment.